Where is your love?
Where do you miss?
Where did your heart skip a beat?
Where have you hurt?
Where is home?

Meditating on these five questions, Andil Gosine produced *Wardrobes* (2011–13), an experimental process of object- and performance-creation focused on love, loss, and dislocation in Indo-Caribbean diasporas. Inspired at once by his indentured laborer ancestors’ experiences of transport to Trinidadian sugar plantations in the nineteenth century as well as by the ending of his own transnational relationship in 2009, Gosine asked in these projects how we might process grief across time and space. The performances pushed audiences to think about where—in what places, at what sites, and in whose bodies—traumas make their home. While the story that drives *Wardrobes* is one of movement (from rural Trinidad to Toronto to Paris to New York) as well as one of time travel (from the nineteenth to the twenty-first centuries), Gosine’s project is propelled by the settling, the resting, and the taking up residence of grief.

In this case, as in so many others, it begins with heartbreak. How to apprehend the scale, the depth, the endurance of something as banal yet so life changing as a broken heart? How to grieve? Framing this “Caribbean Queer Visualities” project, David Scott asked us to consider “to what harms a mode of critique responds,” and *Wardrobes*, not unlike many of the other projects that we consider here, seeks to document and offer critical perspective on conditions of woundedness—its experience and its resurfacing—across generations. Gosine calls his project “both a private and public interrogation of desire, and its relationship to social trauma.”1 Cycling between inaugural macroviolences, at the scale of populations (such as Indo-Trinidadian indenture), to ongoing microviolences, at the scale of individual bodies (such as loves lost and kinships compromised), *Wardrobes* asks us to consider where trauma resides in queer Caribbean histories. The project is a particularly live example of what M. Jacqui Alexander, borrowing from Ella Shohat, has called a dwelling in “palimpsestic time,” a strategy that might offer renewed models for understanding harm (and, too, for forging healing) in the contemporary Caribbean.2

This conventional definition for *palimpsest*—“something reused or altered but still bearing visible traces of its earlier form”—is but one dimension of the mode of temporality that Alexander seeks to uncover with palimpsestic time.3 In her formulation, it is time that is “neither vertically accumulated nor horizontally teleological”—it is time of a telos unregulated by the conventions of European sci-
entism; it is time that bends, that loops, and that sometimes breaks. Those palimpsests of yore—the papyrus and vellum written upon, subject to “imperfect erasure,” and written upon again—are replaced in her example with time itself, with seconds, minutes, and hours bearing the traces of what has come before. Alexander’s analytic of the palimpsest comes to denote instead of a thing a quality (in its transition from noun, *palimpsest*, to adjective, *palimpsestic*), broadening the optic to include objects that we can apprehend not only visually and haptically but also experientially.

But the palimpsestic time at work in Gosine’s *Wardrobes* is not just about coloniality; it is also about a broken heart, a despair that he equates with trauma. Scott’s opening query about the constitution of harm is crucial here: Gosine, in his focus on the multiscalar dimensions of grief, joins a coterie of scholars who have been shifting our understandings of trauma away from the exceptional and the massive—the so-called singular event—and toward the conditions of the everyday. Rather than understand catastrophes, massacres, genocide, and war solely as the sites for the generation of trauma, we are pushed to apprehend harm as it is made manifest in the mundane, to pain that is no less immediate for its landing at a smaller, more intimate scale. Gosine’s interest in trauma’s trans-generational transmission trains our attention on the project’s fourth guiding question: “Where have you hurt?” The hurt that Gosine diagnoses is not only the grief of a single subject but a mapping of that grief across bodies, communities, generations. Time bends around this grief. It carries this grief through decades, centuries. Perspectives brought to bear in psychosomatic and biomedical studies of posttraumatic stress have generated a vibrant set of inquiries about the *stuff* of trauma, about its materiality and about its manifestation in the body. These studies have focused attention on what Masud Khan calls “cumulative traumas” and on Bessel van der Kolk’s later contention that traumas are stored, and later transmitted, in somatic memory. *Wardrobes* takes broken-heartedness, both at the scene of diasporic migration and at the scene of love’s ending, and calls it all trauma. Gosine asks that we consider how pain—major or minor, proximate or distant—courses through our lives in similar registers. Van der Kolk argues that “the body keeps the score,” that “the memory of trauma is encoded in the viscera, in the heartbreaking and gut-wrenching emotions, in autoimmune disorders and skeletal/muscular problems.” Trauma, then, not only takes up residence in the psyche but also has physical effects. It resides in the body that is subject to harm at whatever scale it is experienced, but it also resides in the bodies of those descended from them. *Wardrobes* is inspired by just such a pair:

In 1845 Savitri leaves Calcutta for Trinidad. She boards the *Fath Al Razack*, and is ordered to change into the stockings, woolen trousers, shoes and a petticoat provided. In 2009 Jimmy leaves Paris with a broken heart and four suitcases of couture. Jimmy meets Savitri in Trinidad, New York, and Toronto in 2017. *WARDROBES* happens in palimpsestic time. Savitri and her descendant meet in Toronto, on the 100th anniversary of the end of the British system of indentureship that had brought Savitri and others to Trinidad and elsewhere in the Caribbean.

Savitri and Jimmy meet across time and in diasporic space, sharing not only a bloodline but also an association of displacement with sartorial change. Savitri, whose presence reverberates throughout *Wardrobes*, is the namesake of a series of fabled
women, all distinguished by their deep romantic attachments and their sacrifices in the face of love’s loss. The epic Mahabharata’s tale of Savitri and Satyavan, which has itself been retold countless times across media as varied as opera and film, offers us a Savitri who sacrifices—food, wealth, and freedom—for the life of her husband. Gosine’s Savitri reveals less of her own story, but she too is subject to sacrifice, as she boards the Fatih Razack (or Fath al Razack), the first ship to carry indentured laborers from India to Trinidad, and is transformed by the kala pani (dark waters) and by her induction into the Caribbean’s labor regime. Jimmy is the inheritor of Savitri’s woe, but he carries his own too: a broken heart, unhealed by a suitcase of couture. Of their baggage, Gosine says, “Savitri’s new wardrobe turned her into an Indenture [sic]. Jimmy will have new wardrobes, but that does not mean he will stop being clothed in hers.”

Swathed in these garments of grief, many layers deep, Jimmy’s traumas are not only his own; they are also those that once belonged to Savitri. Touching through time, the traumas emanate from Savitri’s nineteenth-century dislocation to Jimmy’s own at the dawn of the twenty-first. Gosine offers some insight into his relationship to these figures, and into his avatar-like connection to Jimmy and his broken heart:

WARDROBES did not begin with Savitri. I was simply heartbroken, devastated by the sudden end of a decade-long relationship with my first love. To live, I followed a passion for Yoko Ono’s art and Rei Kawakubo to New York, with a plan to figure out my sense of a connection between and my lust for Ono’s cut piece [sic] and contemporary Japanese couture. . . but the project would become an insufficient vehicle for processing grief. I wanted my own wardrobe.

The wardrobe that Gosine ultimately produced contains four objects: a white-gold brooch forged in the shape of a cutlass, a bag designed to carry both rum and roti, a lace and cotton ohrni headscarf embroidered with a gold image of an anchor (resembling his grandmother’s tattoo), and a set of cotton doctor’s scrubs, screen printed with a repeating image of his parents from the early years of their courtship. Each item for his wardrobe was inspired by kinship, not only the blood-relation between Savitri and Jimmy, or Gosine’s own family ties, but also in reference to the biological and nonbiological kinship ties forged—and rendered—by experiences of diaspora. Each object is also a palimpsest of meaning for Gosine: he reflects on his association of the object with his childhood in rural Trinidad and with the brutality of the plantation. The second preview, Cutlass, further centered the interface among pain, inheritance, and intimacy. Gosine opened that gathering with an offering to participants to pose for portraits with their kin, the sugar- cane evoking at once nostalgia for tropical landscapes and the brutality of the plantation. The second preview, Cutlass, calling these partially scripted but mostly improvisational endeavors “previews,” honoring a final aim to join all four in later incarnations into a single staged opera. He understood each gathering as part of the drafting process for this final performance, and as such they relied heavily on audience participation, serving to dialogically refine the questions that guided his endeavor.

For the first preview, Made in Love, Gosine made the scrubs printed with the photograph of his parents. Then, at the Indo-Caribbean Alliance gala in Queens, New York, he set up a photo studio in front of an image of sugar cane and “people waited long queues to get their photos taken, all the while reminiscing about the collections of studio images in their own family albums.” Wearing his scrubs, Gosine asked participants to pose for portraits with their kin, the sugar-cane evoking at once nostalgia for tropical landscapes and the brutality of the plantation. The second preview, Cutlass, for his wardrobe, Gosine also used each object as a launching point for a collaboration and public performance. He understood the second preview, Cutlass, further centered the interface among pain, inheritance, and intimacy. Gosine opened that gathering with an offering to five generations of Indian women who faced violence, before bringing to the stage his collaborator, Haitian Canadian Parisian singer-songwriter Mélissa Laveaux, who played music referencing their shared ties to the region. For this preview Gosine issued “an invitation to the audience to try
to drown their grief by dumping paper boats into a pool of 
water; [noting that] the boats, of course, usually stayed afloat 
for a long time.” In the third preview, *Rum and Roti*, the 
audience watched the premiere of Richard Fung’s 2012 film
*Dal puri Diaspora* and then entered a reception by passing 
through a structure Gosine built to resemble a conjoined hut/ 
coffin/boat, after which they exchanged paper boats for *rotis*. 
Participants were invited one by one to a therapy exercise, 
and seated on a couch in front of an image of crowds at a 
point of embarkment, Gosine posed the project’s five guiding 
questions: *Where is your love? Where do you miss? Where did your heart skip a beat? Where have you hurt? Where is home?*

Finally, with the preview *Ohrni*, Gosine enlisted Gaiutra Baha-
dur, author of *Coolie Woman: The Odyssey of Indenture*, as 
his collaborator, reflecting that

*Coolie Woman* shows the deep scars of indenture-
ship and colonial violence, and also the post-Inden-
ture reassertion of patriarchy in Indo-Caribbean 
communities in particular. The problems of do-
mestic violence and wife murder, alcoholism, high 
suicide rates in Guyana and Trinidad and Tobago 
have long stories, and are wrapped up in both the 
 systemic dehumanization of those who would be 
Indentures [sic], anxieties about their bodies and 
 “barbaric” sexual and cultural practices, as well as 
in the post-Indenture effort to “belong” again. To 
 reclaim humanity, to belong again ethnically, na-
tionally, women were called to submit their agency, 
queers to bury their desires, to weigh ourselves, 
again, against Oppressors, be they British or Indian, 
rules of civility.

Dwelling on points of anchorage and the makings of home, 
with *Ohrni* Gosine brought the twinned figures of Savitri and Jimmy to the fore. To accompany the ohrni 
headscarf—the garment that most powerfully calls on associations not only with Savitri but also with 
his own grandmother as well as with generations of Indo-Trinidadian women—Gosine also produced 
Jimmy’s new garment: a T-shirt with the word *Parisien* rendered in Hindi and surrounded by the colors of 
the French national flag. Gosine later remarked that this phase of the project “was a kind of resolution to 
this search for a new home to replace all the ones [he] lost, especially in love.”

In each iteration of *Wardrobes*, Gosine experimented with the possibilities of the palimpsest: overlaying 
his own story on Jimmy’s, and Jimmy’s on Savitri’s, he invested each object with their varied expe-
riences of pain. In another version of *Made in Love* he superimposed a picture of himself and a male 
companion over of the image of his parents—both couples looking knowingly in the other’s direction, 
standing at the same distance, their bodies melding in and through each other as Gosine’s companion 
sings a cover of Procul Harum’s “Whiter Shade of Pale.” There is a queer time at work in *Wardrobes*, 
a living within an echo, a perpetual recurrence. Gosine says that Savitri’s and Jimmy’s stories “keep 
repeating because of a failure to grieve”: “And all of it that happened before, all that began with this 
severe dislocation that brought [Jimmy’s] ancestors on ships, that reconfigured them as labourers alone, 
pulses through Jimmy’s body, in his most intimate contentions with his pleasures and fears, and in his 
negotiations with the social institutions that still haven’t grieved enough, that still fail to memorialize pain 
truthfully.” Jim is the inheritor of this failure to grieve, but its effects do not travel in a straight line. 
They reverberate from Savitri to Jimmy, to Gosine, to Ramadai, outward to their kin and back again—a 
not quite linear, and not quite cyclical, unfolding of pain.

Gosine’s process-oriented concern in *Wardrobes*, the slow accretion of significance to each of its ob-
jects, is critical to the ways palimpsestic time emerges in his work. The palimpsest is not just a reference 
to the cutlass or to the ohrni, nor is it just a reference to the experience generated by each performance; 
it is also as a reflection upon his art-making practice. The palimpsests at work in *Wardrobes* are at once 
things, qualities, and method. Gosine’s palimpsest is also a verb.

While *palimpsest* is conventionally employed only in the former two forms—as a noun or as an ad-
jective—Jafari Allen’s “For the Children: Dancing the Beloved Community” offers another turn of the 
phrase. In a call to make anew the ephemeral archives of Black queer life, Allen writes, “Certainly we 
must all pick up the weapons and pens and tools and steps of our sisters and brothers. Perhaps to 
remember is also to spin where s/he shimmied, rewrite, correct, alter, improve—palimpsest.” Here, 
Allen employs palimpsest as a purposeful action. Rather than observing and analyzing a palimpsest 
before us or experiencing the enfolding of experiences that might be labeled palimpsestic, Allen calls 
for the creation of palimpsests as praxis. *Wardrobes* does precisely this work, stepping into a process
for documenting trauma and opening space to mourn as a strategic intervention. Gosine’s decision to create a wardrobe was an act of self-care in the interest of social healing, a reminder of the co-implication of both collective and individual memory and collective and individual experience. To palimpsest in this way is a curative undertaking—one that allows the doer to process grief not just as it emerges in the painful events of a single life but as it echoes in the social worlds of a transhistorical and transnational kinship line.

In a project that riffs further on Wardrobes, Gosine’s Coolie, coolie, viens pour curry / Le curry est tout fini (2016) pares down this project to its essence. A diptych study in white on white, every word of the children’s taunt—save for “fini”—is rendered so as to be barely perceptible against its background, visible only to the attuned eye or to the viewer willing to move in such a way that the words emerge from their surroundings. It too is a palimpsest, the provocation itself under erasure. It too dwells in palimpsestic time, collapsing mockery from Gosine’s own childhood into broader forms of derision of Indo-Caribbean people. And it too is about a praxis oriented toward healing, with “Fini”—“finished”—rendered in gold, not only as a conclusion to a question about the availability of curry but also as a demand, an insistence, that the causes of trauma, both present and past, come to an end.

Gosine’s commission for “Caribbean Queer Visualities,” Coolie Colors (I could have been fabulous! But then we moved to Canada) (2016) approaches queer Caribbean childhood from a different vantage. Rather than focus on Trinidad as a site of trauma, here Gosine references the island as a site of gender experimentation and creative intensity. Canada, by contrast, is the place that gives rise to unrealized potential. He infuses this palimpsest with color—a Pantone fan, overlain by childhood photographs of a confidently gender-playful Gosine, is set against a backdrop of deeply saturated proximate shades. The colors are matched by a set of Hindu jhandi flags anchored in a clay pot: common markers of Indo-Caribbean homes. Circling back to the relationship between migration and loss that was the focus of Wardrobes, Coolie Colors returns viewers to the endurance of the indenture-migration experience and to a nostalgia for what might have been. Gosine’s protagonist blames emigration for the missed opportunity to be fabulous, inverting the homonationalist trope whereby a queer or trans*person must leave a “backward” homeland to find their “true” self in the metropole.

Gosine’s childhood photographs demonstrate that fabulousness is always already available in a space like Trinidad unsettling flattened representations of queer life, stripped of layers of experience and meaning, and makes comprehensible our protagonist’s disappointment about having been moved to Canada.

In an essay on palimpsestic time, Marc Singer observes that novelists (I read him to mean artists more generally) have “the potential to rearrange time,” a complicated “freedom” that both affords an opportunity and lays bare a problem: with all of that time to think of and through, the choices that an artist makes to structure a narrative have tremendous consequences. Gosine’s rearrangements of time in Indo-Caribbean diasporas are enormously consequential: they reveal the capacity of wounds to make themselves resound beyond the bodies and sites on which they are first inflicted. Savitri’s pain reverberates through Jimmy’s body, but that pain also cycles from their invented worlds through Gosine’s own, including the artist’s experience alongside those of the participants in his Wardrobe previews. His temporal rearrangements call for a careful accounting of the distribution of harm, and this is what Gosine’s art-making practice offers us. Through creation, collaboration, and public performance, through the making of objects into which he invests multilayered meaning—Gosine’s is a strategy for palimpsesting and for documenting where trauma resides, how it accumulates over time, and how it accretes in parchment-like layers, in embodied experiences, across generations.
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